arXiv:1111.5635v2 [math.GR] 25 Apr 2012

THE SMALL INDEX PROPERTY FOR FREE NILPOTENT GROUPS

VLADIMIR TOLSTYKH

Abstract. Let F be a relatively free algebra of infinite rank $\varkappa$. We say that F has the *small index property* if any subgroup of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ of index at most $\varkappa$ contains the pointwise stabilizer $\Gamma_{(U)}$ of a subset U of F of cardinality less than $\varkappa$. We prove that every infinitely generated free nilpotent/abelian group has the small index property, and discuss a number of applications.

1. Introduction

A countable first-order structure $\mathcal{M}$ is said to have the *small index property* if every subgroup of the automorphism group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ of index less than $2^{\aleph_0}$ contains the pointwise stabilizer $\Gamma_{(U)}$ of a finite subset U of the domain of $\mathcal{M}$ [5, Section 4.2]. Here we use and shall use in the rest of the paper the standard notation of the theory of permutation groups. Working with a group G which acts on set X, we shall denote by $G_{(Y)}$ and by $G_{\{Y\}}$ the pointwise and the setwise stabilizer of a subset Y of X in G, respectively. Any symbol of the form $G_{*_1,*_2}$ will denote the intersection of stabilizers $G_{*_1}, G_{*_2} \leqslant G$ (e.g. $G_{(Y),\{Z\}} = G_{(Y)} \cap G_{\{Z\}}$).

There are many examples of countable structures that have the small index property: any countable set with no relations; the set of rational numbers with the natural order; any countable atomless boolean algebra, any vector space of countable dimension over an at most countable field, etc. The special role played by the cardinal $\aleph_0$ is (essentially) explained by the fact that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a first-order structure of cardinality $\aleph_0$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ can be naturally converted into a Polish topological group [6, pp. 59–60] (recall that a topological space is Polish if and only if it is separable and completely metrizable).

One of the attractions of the small index property lies in the fact that if for a countable structure $\mathcal{M}$ we have that $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})| = 2^{\aleph_0}$, then any automorphism $\Delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of the group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ takes a subgroup of small ($< 2^{\aleph_0}$) index to a subgroup of small index, since the condition "Σ is of small index in Γ" and "$|\Gamma : \Sigma| < |\Gamma|$" are in this case equivalent. This makes the small index property an efficient tool to prove results on the isomorphism types of the automorphism groups

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F28 (20E05, 20F19).

of countable structures, to prove results on reconstruction of countable structures from their automorphism groups, etc. These considerations lead to a search of a reasonable analogue of the small index property for arbitrary infinite structures. One of the crucial results in this direction is the following theorem by J. Dixon, P.M. Neumann and S. Thomas which appeared in one of the very first papers on the small index property [4].

Theorem (Theorem $2^\flat$ of [4])**.** *Let I be an infinite set. Then any subgroup of the symmetric group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Sym}(I)$ of I of index at most $|I|$ contains the pointwise stabilizer $\Gamma_{(U)}$ a subset U of I of cardinality $< |I|$.*

Note that if I is of cardinality $\aleph_0$, then for any subgroup Σ of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Sym}(I)$ the conditions "$|\Gamma : \Sigma| \leqslant \aleph_0$" and "$|\Gamma : \Sigma| < 2^{\aleph_0}$" are equivalent [5, Th. 4.2.8].

Working with relatively free algebras F, one quite often meets the situation when the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ "recognizes" the rank of F (the cardinality of a basis of F). For instance (keeping in mind Theorem $2^\flat$ of [4]), if F is an infinite set with no relations (a relatively free algebra in the empty language), then $\mathrm{rank}(F) = |F|$ is equal to the cardinality of the family of all transpositions in $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ (the family of all transpositions can be characterized in $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ in terms of group operation, see e.g. [10]). Similarly, $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ "recognizes" $\mathrm{rank}(F)$ if F is an infinite-dimensional vector space over a division ring, an infinitely generated free nilpotent/abelian, or an infinitely generated centerless relatively free group [14, Prop. 1.3], etc. Summarizing the above discussion, we suggest the following definition.

Definition. *Let F be a relatively free algebra of infinite rank $\varkappa$. We shall say that F has the small index property if any subgroup Σ of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ of index at most $\varkappa$ contains the pointwise stabilizer $\Gamma_{(U)}$ of a subset U of F of cardinality $< \varkappa$.*

Henceforth we shall use the term the small index property only in the sense of the above definition (which a priori differs from the classical one for countable structures).

The paper is organized as follows. Proposition 2.1 of Section 2 states that given a relatively free algebra F of infinite rank with a basis $\mathscr{B}$ and any subgroup $\Sigma \leqslant \Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ of small ($\leqslant \mathrm{rank}(F)$) index, there is a moiety $\mathscr{C}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ such that Σ contains the subgroup $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{B}\setminus\mathscr{C}),\{\langle\mathscr{C}\rangle\}}$ of all elements of Γ which fix $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{C}$ pointwise and fix the subalgebra $\langle\mathscr{C}\rangle$ generated by $\mathscr{C}$ setwise. The proof of Proposition 2.1 relies heavily on Theorem $2^\flat$ of [4] we have quoted above.

Then we consider a number of corollaries of Proposition 2.1 for free algebras of infinite rank in so-called BMN-varieties introduced by the author in [13]. A variety

$\mathfrak{V}$ of algebras is called a *BMN-variety*, if given a free algebra $F \in \mathfrak{V}$ of infinite rank and any basis $\mathscr{B}$ of F, the group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ is generated by the stabilizers

$$\Gamma_{(\mathscr{B}_1),\{\langle \mathscr{B}_2 \cup \mathscr{C}\rangle\}} \text{ and } \Gamma_{(\mathscr{B}_2),\{\langle \mathscr{B}_1 \cup \mathscr{C}\rangle\}}$$

where $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}_1 \sqcup \mathscr{B}_2 \sqcup \mathscr{C}$ is any partition of $\mathscr{B}$ into moieties. Examples of BMN-varieties are, for instance, the variety of algebras with no structure, any variety of vector spaces over a fixed division ring, any variety $\mathfrak{N}_c$ of nilpotent groups of class $\leqslant c$, etc. By applying Proposition 2.1, we prove that if F is a free algebra of infinite rank from a BMN-variety, then the group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ has no proper normal subgroups of index $< 2^{\mathrm{rank}(F)}$ and that every subgroup Σ of Γ of small index contains a stabilizer $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D}),\{\langle \mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D}\rangle\}}$ where $\mathscr{B}$ is a basis of F and $\mathscr{D}$ is a subset of $\mathscr{B}$ of cardinality $< \mathrm{rank}(F)$. To compare these results with the situation in the general case, we show, using the ideas from the paper [3] by R. Bryant and V. Roman'kov, that if F is a relatively free algebra of infinite rank with the small index property, then any proper normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ is of index $> \mathrm{rank}(F)$ and that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ is perfect (Proposition 2.4).

Then we consider a natural sufficient condition for any infinitely generated free algebra from an BMN-variety to have the small index property (Proposition 2.5) and show that this condition is true for any free nilpotent/abelian group of infinite rank (Theorem 3.1); the said condition is also true, for instance, for any infinite-dimensional vector space over a division ring. Note that R. Bryant and D. Evans proved in [1] that any free group of countably infinite rank has the small index property and obtained as a corollary that some other relatively free groups of countably infinite rank (in particular, free nilpotent groups of countably infinite rank) have the small index property. Thus the result by R. Bryant and D. Evans concerning free nilpotent groups of countably infinite rank is transferred to free nilpotent groups of arbitrary infinite rank.

2. The small index property for relatively free algebras

Let F be a relatively free algebra with a basis $\mathscr{B}$. We call an automorphism π of F a *permutational* automorphism with respect to $\mathscr{B}$, if π preserves $\mathscr{B}$ as a set; the group of all $\mathscr{B}$-permutational automorphisms of F will be denoted by $\mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B})$; clearly, $\mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B}) \cong \mathrm{Sym}(\mathscr{B})$.

Recall that a subset J of an infinite set I is called a *moiety* of I if $|J| = |I \setminus J|$. Given an automorphism σ of F in the case when F is of infinite rank, we shall call σ *moietous* with regard to $\mathscr{B}$, if there is a partition $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}_1 \sqcup \mathscr{B}_2$ of $\mathscr{B}$ into moieties such that $\sigma \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{B}_1),\{\langle\mathscr{B}_2\rangle\}}$, that is, if σ fixes $\mathscr{B}_1$ pointwise and fixes the subalgebra $\langle\mathscr{B}_2\rangle$ generated by $\mathscr{B}_2$ setwise. The set of all $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphisms of F will be denoted by $\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathscr{B}}(F)$.

Now let

$$\mathscr{B} = \bigsqcup_{i\in I} \mathscr{B}_i$$

be a partition of $\mathscr{B}$. Having a situation like that we shall write

$$F = \circledast_{i\in I} F_i \tag{2.1}$$

where $F_i = \langle\mathscr{B}_i\rangle$ is the subalgebra of F generated by $\mathscr{B}_i$ $(i \in I)$; thus F is the coproduct of subalgebras F_i. Now given automorphisms $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(F_i)$ where i runs over I, there is a uniquely determined automorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ such that $\varphi|_{F_i} = \varphi_i$ for all $i \in I$; in the manner of (2.1) we write

$$\varphi = \circledast_{i\in I}\varphi_i.$$

Suppose that for a certain subset $J \subseteq I$ and for a certain $j_0 \in J$ we have that

- $|\mathscr{B}_j| = |\mathscr{B}_{j_0}|$ for all $j \in J$ (so all sets $\mathscr{B}_j$ are equipotent);
- for every $j \in J$ there is a bijection $p_j : \mathscr{B}_{j_0} \to \mathscr{B}_j$ such that $\varphi_j = \pi_j\varphi_{j_0}{\pi_j}^{-1}$ where π_j is the isomorphism of algebras in the language of F induced by p_j (and then the actions of all φ_j are isomorphic);

it is then convenient to write the automorphism $\varphi = \circledast_{i\in I}\varphi_i$ in the following simplified form

$$\varphi = (\circledast_{j\in J}\varphi_{j_0}) \circledast (\circledast_{i\in(I\setminus J)}\varphi_i).$$

Further, let

$$\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{D} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j\in J}\mathscr{C}_j \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i\in I}\mathscr{C}_i.$$

be a partition of $\mathscr{B}$. Suppose that for all $j \in J$, ρ_j is an automorphism of the subalgebra $\langle\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{C}_j\rangle$ which fixes $\mathscr{D}$ pointwise and that for all $i \in I$, φ_i is an automorphism of the subalgebra $\langle\mathscr{C}_i\rangle$. Then there exists a uniquely determined automorphism σ of F such that

- $\sigma|_{\mathscr{D}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{D}}$;
- $\sigma|_{\mathscr{C}_j} = \rho_j|_{\mathscr{C}_j}$ for all $j \in J$;
- $\sigma|_{\mathscr{C}_i} = \varphi_i|_{\mathscr{C}_i}$ for all $i \in I$;

in the manner we have used above, σ can be written as

$$\sigma = \mathrm{id} \circledast (\circledast_{j\in J}\rho_j) \circledast (\circledast_{i\in I}\varphi_i).$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let F be a relatively free algebra of infinite rank $\varkappa$ with a basis $\mathscr{B}$. Then for every subgroup Σ of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ of index at most $\varkappa$ there is a subset $\mathscr{D}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ of cardinality $< \varkappa$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathscr{B}}(F)_{(\mathscr{D})} \subseteq \Sigma$$

where $\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathscr{B}}(F)_{(\mathscr{D})}$ is the set of all $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphisms which fix $\mathscr{D}$ pointwise. In particular, if $\mathscr{C}$ is any moiety of $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D}$, the stabilizer $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{B}\setminus\mathscr{C}),\{\langle\mathscr{C}\rangle\}}$ is contained in Σ.

Proof. The result is proved in [14] for infinitely generated relatively free groups, and in fact the proof given in [14] can be used without significant changes in the general case. For the reader's convenience, we reproduce the plan of the proof.

(a). The key fact is that there exists a subset $\mathscr{D}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ of cardinality $< \varkappa$ such that the stabilizer

$$\mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B})_{(\mathscr{D})}$$

is contained in Σ. This follows from Theorem $2^\flat$ of [4] quoted in the Introduction.

(b). Now let $\mathscr{C}$ be a moiety of $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D}$. Consider a partition

$$\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D} = \bigsqcup_{i\in I} \mathscr{C}_i \tag{2.2}$$

of $\mathscr{B}\setminus\mathscr{D}$ into moieties such that I is an index set of cardinality $\varkappa$ and $\mathscr{C}$ is a moiety of $\mathscr{C}_{i_0}$ for some $i_0 \in I$. Then partition $\mathscr{C}_{i_0}$ into $\aleph_0$ moieties:

$$\mathscr{C}_{i_0} = \bigsqcup_{k\in\mathbf{N}} \mathscr{C}_{i_0,k} \tag{2.3}$$

so that $\mathscr{C}_{i_0,0} = \mathscr{C}$. Take any automorphism α of the subalgebra $\langle \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{C}_{i_0,0}\rangle = \langle \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{C}\rangle$ generated by $\mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{D}$ which fixes $\mathscr{D}$ pointwise. Extend α on $\mathscr{C}_{i_0}$ as follows:

$$\beta = (\alpha \circledast \alpha^{-1} \circledast \mathrm{id}) \circledast (\alpha \circledast \alpha^{-1} \circledast \mathrm{id}) \circledast \dots$$

where the automorphism in the right-hand side corresponds to the partition (2.3).

The family $\Lambda = \{\lambda\}$ of automorphisms of F such that

$$\lambda = \mathrm{id} \circledast (\circledast_{i\in I} \beta^{\varepsilon_i})$$

where an automorphism in the right-hand side corresponds to the partition

$$\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{D} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i\in I} \mathscr{C}_i$$

and where $\varepsilon_i = 0, 1$ $(i \in I)$, has the cardinality $2^\varkappa$. Then there are distinct $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ such that $\mu = \lambda_1 {\lambda_2}^{-1}$ is contained in Σ (in fact, *in any subgroup of* Γ *of index* $< 2^\varkappa$; we shall use this fact below).

(c). Now one can find $\mathscr{B}$-permutational automorphisms $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B})_{(\mathscr{D})}$ such that the product $(\mu^{\pi_1}\mu)^{\pi_2}$ acts as α on $\mathscr{C} = \mathscr{C}_{i_0,0}$ and fixes the rest $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{C}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ pointwise. $\square$

Next, we are going to discuss some corollaries of Proposition 2.1.

A variety $\mathfrak{V}$ of algebras is called a *BMN-variety* [13] if given any free algebra F of $\mathfrak{V}$ of infinite rank, any basis $\mathscr{B}$ of F and any partition

$$\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}_1 \sqcup \mathscr{B}_2 \sqcup \mathscr{C}$$

of $\mathscr{B}$ into moieties, the automorphism group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ of F is generated by the stabilizers

$$\Gamma_{(\mathscr{B}_1),\{\langle \mathscr{B}_2 \cup \mathscr{C} \rangle\}} \text{ and } \Gamma_{(\mathscr{B}_1),\{\langle \mathscr{B}_2 \cup \mathscr{C} \rangle\}}.$$

Equivalently, Γ is generated: (a) by any stabilizer of the form

$$\Gamma_{(\mathscr{C}),\{\langle \mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{C} \rangle\}} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathscr{C}$ is any moiety of $\mathscr{B}$ and by all $\mathscr{B}$-permutational automorphisms; (b) by any stabilizer of the form (2.4) and by a suitable $\mathscr{B}$-permutational automorphism; (c) by all $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphisms of F. One of the nice properties of the group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ is that Γ is a perfect group [13, Th. 1.5], that is, Γ is equal to its commutator subgroup $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$.

For instance, the variety of all sets with no structure (as a corollary of the results in [4, 8]), any variety of all vector spaces over a fixed division ring (as a corollary of the results in [7]), the variety of all abelian groups and any variety $\mathfrak{N}_c$ of nilpotent groups of class $\leqslant c$ are BMN-varieties [13].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{V}$ be a BMN-variety of algebras, F a free algebra of $\mathfrak{V}$ of infinite rank $\varkappa$, $\mathscr{B}$ a basis of F and $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$. Then*

(i) *every proper normal subgroup of Γ has index $2^\varkappa$;*

(ii) *if $\nu > 1$ is any cardinal such that $2^\nu < 2^\varkappa$ (for instance, any cardinal $\nu > 1$ such that $2^\nu \leqslant \varkappa$) then Γ has no subgroups of index ν;*

(iii) *if Σ is a subgroup of Γ of index $\leqslant \varkappa$, then there is a subset $\mathscr{D}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ of cardinality $< \varkappa$ such that the stabilizer $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D}),\{\langle \mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D} \rangle\}}$ is contained in Σ.*

Proof. (i) It follows from the proof of the part (i) of Theorem 2.5 in [13] that Γ is the normal closure of a suitable permutational automorphism. Namely, if

$$\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}_1 \sqcup \mathscr{B}_2 \sqcup \mathscr{C}$$

is a partition of $\mathscr{B}$ into moieties, then for any $\mathscr{B}$-permutational automorphism π^* of order two of F which interchanges $\mathscr{B}_1$ and $\mathscr{B}_2$ and fixes $\mathscr{C}$ pointwise we have that Γ is the normal closure of π^*. Observe that the support of the restriction $\pi^*|_{\mathscr{B}}$ of π^* on $\mathscr{B}$ is a moiety of $\mathscr{B}$.

Let N be a normal subgroup of Γ having index less than $2^{\varkappa}$. Take a moiety $\mathscr{C}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ and consider a permutation r of order two of $\mathscr{C}$ such that the support of r is a moiety of $\mathscr{C}$. Let ρ be the automorphism of the subalgebra $\langle\mathscr{C}\rangle$ induced by r. Apply then for $\mathscr{B},\mathscr{C}$ and ρ the argument of part (b) of the proof of Proposition 2.1 (assuming that $\mathscr{D}=\varnothing$). It then follows that N contains a nonidentity automorphism of the form $\mu=\circledast_{i\in I}\beta(\rho)^{\nu_i}$ where $\nu_i=-1,0,1 \quad [=0,1]$ $(i\in I)$. It is easy to see that the restriction of μ on $\mathscr{B}$ is an involution whose support is a moiety of $\mathscr{B}$. Thus N contains a conjugate of π^* described above, and hence $N=\Gamma$, as claimed.

(ii) If H is a subgroup of a given group G, then the kernel of the natural action of G on the quotient set G/H is of index $\leqslant |\mathrm{Sym}(G/H)|$. Thus if Γ has a subgroup of index ν, it has a normal subgroup of index at most 2^{ν}, contradicting (i).

(iii) We continue to use the notation introduced in (i). Let Σ be a subgroup of Γ of index at most $\varkappa$. Then there is a subset $\mathscr{D}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ of cardinality $<\varkappa$ such that $\Sigma\geqslant \mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B})_{(\mathscr{D})}$, and, by Proposition 2.1, if $\mathscr{C}$ is any moiety of $\mathscr{B}\backslash\mathscr{D}$, the stabilizer $S=\Gamma_{(\mathscr{B}\backslash\mathscr{C}),\{\langle\mathscr{C}\rangle\}}$ is contained in Σ. Now by the definition of a BMN-variety, S together with $\mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B})_{(\mathscr{D})}$ generates the subgroup $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D}),\{\langle\mathscr{B}\backslash\mathscr{D}\rangle\}}\cong\mathrm{Aut}(\langle\mathscr{B}\setminus\mathscr{D}\rangle)$. □

It is interesting to compare Proposition 2.2 with the situation in the general case described below in Proposition 2.4 (a straightforward generalization of the corresponding result from [3]). One of the leading themes of the paper [3] by R. Bryant and V. Roman'kov is the study of subgroups of index $<2^{\aleph_0}$ of the automorphism groups of relatively free algebras F of arbitrary infinite rank. Note that the definitions of the small index property given in [3] and that one in the present paper are different: the definition in [3] requires, regardless of $\mathrm{rank}(F)$, existence of pointwise stabilizers of finite sets in all subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ of index $<2^{\aleph_0}$. However the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ of a relatively free algebra F of infinite rank might simply not possess proper subgroups of index $<2^{\aleph_0}$ as Proposition 2.2 demonstrates.

Lemma 2.3. *Let F be a relatively free algebra with a basis $\mathscr{B}$ of infinite cardinality $\varkappa$ and let $\mathscr{D}$ be a subset of $\mathscr{B}$ of cardinality $<\varkappa$. Then*

(i) *for every automorphism $\sigma\in\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ there is a $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism ρ of F such that $\sigma|_{\mathscr{D}}=\rho|_{\mathscr{D}}$ (cf.* [3, Lemma 2.1]*);*

(ii) *the automorphism group* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ *of* F *is generated by all conjugate subgroups of* $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ *by elements of* $\mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B})$*:*

$$\Gamma = \langle \pi\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}\pi^{-1} : \pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B})\rangle$$

(*cf.* [3, Lemma 4.3]).

Proof. We follow the ideas of the proofs of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 4.3 of [3] which correspond to (i) and (ii), respectively, in the case when $\mathscr{D}$ is finite.

(i) Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a subset of $\mathscr{B}$ such that

$$\sigma\mathscr{D} \subseteq \langle \mathscr{E}\rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

Clearly, if $\mathscr{D}$ is finite, there exists a finite $\mathscr{E} \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ with (2.5); if $\mathscr{D}$ is of infinite cardinality $\nu < \varkappa$, then there exists an $\mathscr{E} \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ with (2.5) of cardinality $\nu < \varkappa$.

Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a moiety of $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{E}$. Then there is a bijection $m : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{E}$ which takes every element of $\mathscr{E}$ to itself, and hence an isomorphism $\mu : \langle \mathscr{B}\rangle \to \langle \mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{E}\rangle$ of algebras $F = \langle \mathscr{B}\rangle$ and $\langle \mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{E}\rangle$ which extends m; in particular, $\mu(e) = e$ for all $e \in \mathscr{E}$. It follows that the map $\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}$ is an automorphism of the subalgebra $\langle \mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{E}\rangle$ which coincides with σ on $\mathscr{D}$:

$$\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}(d) = \mu\sigma(d) = \mu(\sigma d) = \sigma(d) \qquad [d \in \mathscr{D}].$$

Then the automorphism

$$(\mu\sigma\mu^{-1}) \circledast \mathrm{id}$$

which corresponds to the partition $\mathscr{B} = (\mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{E}) \sqcup (\mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{E})^c$ can be taken as a required $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism ρ.

(ii) Let $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Then by (i) there is a $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism ρ of F such that $\rho^{-1}\sigma = \gamma \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$. It is easy to find a $\mathscr{B}$-permutational automorphism $\pi \in \mathrm{Sym}_F(\mathscr{B})$ and a $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism $\rho_0 \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ such that $\rho = \pi\rho_0\pi^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$\sigma = \pi\rho_0\pi^{-1} \cdot \gamma \in \pi\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}\pi^{-1} \cdot \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$$

and the result follows. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let* F *be a relatively free algebra of infinite rank* $\varkappa$ *which has the small index property. Then*

(i) *every proper normal subgroup of* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ *has index* $> \varkappa$;

(ii) *the automorphism group* $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ *of* F *is perfect, that is,* $[\Gamma, \Gamma] = \Gamma$.

Proof. We argue like in [3].

(i) If a normal subgroup N of Γ has index $\leqslant \varkappa$, then by part (ii) of Lemma 2.3 $N = \Gamma$.

(ii). It is known that every nonzero abelian group has a nonzero countable quotient. This implies that if $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ is a proper subgroup of Γ, then Γ has a proper normal subgroup of countable index which contradicts (i). $\square$

The next proposition introduces a class of (necessarily BMN-) varieties all whose free algebras of infinite rank have the small index property. We shall show below that any variety $\mathfrak{N}_c$ of all nilpotent groups of class $\leqslant c$ is in this class.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $\mathfrak{V}$ *be a variety of algebras such that for every free algebra* F *of infinite rank* $\varkappa$ *in* $\mathfrak{V}$ *and every basis* $\mathscr{B}$ *of* F *the following is true: given any subset* $\mathscr{D}$ *of* $\mathscr{B}$ *of cardinality* $< \varkappa$, *the stabilizer* $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ *where* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ *is generated by all* $\mathscr{B}$*-moietous automorphisms of* F *which fix* $\mathscr{D}$ *pointwise:*

$$\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})} = \langle \mathrm{Mo}_{\mathscr{B}}(F)_{(\mathscr{D})} \rangle.$$

Then $\mathfrak{V}$ *is a BMN-variety and every free algebra of infinite rank in* $\mathfrak{V}$ *has the small index property.*

Proof. The second statement follows from Proposition 2.1. To show that $\mathfrak{V}$ is a BMN-variety, apply part (i) of Lemma 2.3. $\square$

3. The small index property for free nilpotent groups

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $c \geqslant 1$ *be a natural number. Then the variety* $\mathfrak{N}_c$ *of all nilpotent groups of class* $\leqslant c$ *satisfies the conditions of Proposition* 2.5. *Consequently, every infinitely generated free nilpotent/abelian group has the small index property.*

Proof. Let N be an infinitely generated free nilpotent group of nilpotency class c. Consider a basis $\mathscr{B}$ of N and let $\mathscr{D}$ be a subset of $\mathscr{B}$ of cardinality $< \varkappa = \mathrm{rank}(N)$. Write $\mathscr{E}$ for $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D}$ and let

$$\mathscr{E} = \{e_i : i \in I\}$$

where I is an index set of cardinality $\varkappa$.

Write Φ for the subgroup of Γ generated by $\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathscr{B}}(N)_{(\mathscr{D})}$. Thus our aim is to show that Φ is equal to $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$.

We use induction on c. Let then $c = 1$, which means that N is a free abelian group. Suppose that $\sigma \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ where $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(N)$. Then

$$N = \langle \mathscr{D} \rangle \oplus \langle \mathscr{E} \rangle = \langle \mathscr{D} \rangle \oplus \langle \sigma \mathscr{E} \rangle.$$

It follows that there exists a basis $\{e'_i : i \in I\}$ of the group $\langle \mathscr{E} \rangle$ and elements $u_i \in \langle \mathscr{D} \rangle$ such that

$$\sigma e_i = e'_i + u_i \qquad [i \in I]$$

and

$$\{e_i' + u_i : i \in I\}$$

is a basis of $\langle \sigma \mathscr{E} \rangle$.

As the variety of all abelian groups is a BMN-variety [13], the automorphism $\gamma \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ such that

$$\gamma_0 e_i = e_i' \qquad [i \in I]$$

is a product of $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphisms from $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$, and hence an element of Φ. Then

$$\gamma_0^{-1} \sigma e_i = e_i + u_i \qquad [i \in I].$$

Let $I = I_1 \sqcup I_2$ be a partition of I into moieties. Clearly, both automorphisms $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_1 e_i = e_i + u_i, \quad \pi_2 e_i = e_i, &&[i \in I_1],\\ &\pi_1 e_i = e_i, \qquad\quad\ \pi_2 e_i = e_i + u_i &&[i \in I_2] \end{aligned}$$

are in Φ and their product $\gamma_1 = \pi_1 \pi_2$ takes e_i to $e_i + u_i$ for all $i \in I$. Hence

$$\gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_0^{-1} \sigma e_i = e_i \qquad [i \in I],$$

and σ is in Φ.

Recall that $\gamma_k(G)$ where $k \geqslant 1$ denotes the k-th term of the lower central series of a group G (defined inductively as follows: $\gamma_1(G) = G$ and $\gamma_{k+1}(G) = [\gamma_k(G), G]$).

We consider the induction step. Let N be a free nilpotent group of nilpotency class $c \geqslant 2$. Then $\gamma_{c+1}(N) = \{1\}$, $\gamma_c(N)$ is the center of N, and the quotient group $M = N/\gamma_c(N)$ is a free nilpotent group of nilpotency class $c - 1$. The main result of [2] implies that the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(N) \to \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ determined by the natural homomorphism $\varepsilon : N \to M$ is surjective.

We claim that any $\varepsilon\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism $s \in \mathrm{Aut}(M)_{(\varepsilon\mathscr{D})}$ of M can be lifted to a $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(N)_{(\mathscr{D})} = \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ of N. Indeed, assume that s preserves the subgroup $\langle \varepsilon\mathscr{C} \rangle$ and fixes pointwise $\varepsilon\mathscr{B} \setminus \varepsilon\mathscr{C}$ where $\mathscr{C}$ is a moiety of $\mathscr{B}$ containing $\mathscr{D}$. As the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(\langle \mathscr{C} \rangle) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\langle \varepsilon\mathscr{C} \rangle)$ of the automorphism groups of infinitely generated free nilpotent groups $\langle \mathscr{C} \rangle$ and $\langle \varepsilon\mathscr{C} \rangle$ is surjective by the quoted result of [2], there is a $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism σ_0 of N which induces s such that: (a) σ_0 fixes pointwise $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{C}$; (b) σ_0 preserves the subgroup $\langle \mathscr{C} \rangle$ and (c) σ_0 fixes all elements of $\mathscr{D}$ modulo $\gamma_c(N)$. It is well-known that given any family $\{c_b : b \in \mathscr{B}\}$ of elements of $\gamma_2(N)$, there is an IA-automorphism of N which takes b to bc_b ($b \in \mathscr{B}$). Let then σ_1 be the automorphism of N which coincides with σ_0 on $\mathscr{D}$ and fixes $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D}$ pointwise; clearly, σ_1 preserves $\langle \mathscr{C} \rangle$ and fixes $\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{C}$ pointwise. Now $\sigma = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_0 \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ is a $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism of N which induces s, as desired.

The induction hypothesis then implies that for every $\sigma \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ there is an element $\gamma \in \Phi$ such that $\alpha = \gamma^{-1}\sigma \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ induces the trivial automorphism of $M = N/\gamma_c(N)$.

We claim that α belongs to Φ. Suppose that α acts on $\mathscr{E} = \{e_i : i \in I\}$ as follows:

$$\alpha e_i = e_i w_i \qquad [i \in I] \tag{3.1}$$

where $w_i = w(e_i) \in \gamma_c(N)$.

Observe that every automorphism δ of N which fixes N pointwise modulo the subgroup $\gamma_c(N)$,

$$\delta x \equiv x \pmod{\gamma_c(N)}, \tag{3.2}$$

takes every element of $\gamma_c(N)$ to itself and that any two automorphisms of N of the form (3.2) are then commuting.

Let us partition $\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D}$ into moieties:

$$\mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{D} = \mathscr{F} \sqcup \mathscr{G}$$

and define automorphisms $\alpha_{\mathscr{F}}, \alpha_{\mathscr{G}} \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ of N as follows:

$$\alpha_{\mathscr{F}} f = f, \qquad \alpha_{\mathscr{G}} f = \alpha f, \quad [f \in \mathscr{F}],$$
$$\alpha_{\mathscr{F}} g = \alpha g, \quad \alpha_{\mathscr{G}} g = g, \qquad [g \in \mathscr{G}].$$

Then $\alpha = \alpha_{\mathscr{F}}\alpha_{\mathscr{G}} = \alpha_{\mathscr{G}}\alpha_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathscr{F}} \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D}\cup\mathscr{F})}$, $\alpha_{\mathscr{G}} \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D}\cup\mathscr{G})}$.

Let us prove that $\alpha_{\mathscr{F}}$ is in Φ. By symmetry, it will imply that $\alpha_{\mathscr{G}}$ is also in Φ, whence the result.

Partition $\mathscr{F}$ into $(c+1)$ moieties:

$$\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathscr{F}_{c+1}.$$

Every element of $\gamma_c(N)$ can be written as a product of left-normed basic commutators $[b_1, \ldots, b_c]$ of weight c where $b_1, \ldots, b_c$ run over $\mathscr{B}$. For every $i \in I$, write then $w_i = w(e_i) \in \gamma_c(N)$, participating in (3.2) above, as

$$w_i = w(e_i) = t_1(e_i) \ldots t_{c+1}(e_i)$$

where $t_k(e_i) \in \gamma_c(N)$ is a product of left-normed basic commutators of weight c which do *not* have occurrences from $\mathscr{F}_k$ $(k = 1, \ldots, c+1)$. For every $k = 1, \ldots, c+1$, define $\beta_k \in \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D}\cup\mathscr{F})}$ as follows:

$$\beta_k g = g t_k(g) \qquad [g \in \mathscr{G}].$$

As

$$t_k(g) \in \langle \mathscr{D} \cup (\mathscr{F} \setminus \mathscr{F}_k) \cup \mathscr{G} \rangle = \langle \mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{F}_k \rangle$$

for all $g \in \mathscr{G}$, we have that β_k fixes $\mathscr{F}_k$ pointwise and preserves the subgroup $\langle \mathscr{B} \setminus \mathscr{F}_k \rangle$ setwise ($k = 1, \ldots, c+1$). It follows that β_k is a $\mathscr{B}$-moietous automorphism of N which fixes $\mathscr{D}$ pointwise, or, in other words, an element of Φ. Finally, as

$$\alpha_{\mathscr{F}} = \beta_1 \ldots \beta_{c+1},$$

so is $\alpha_{\mathscr{F}}$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let F be a free group of infinite rank and let $V(F)$ be a verbal subgroup of F which contains a term $\gamma_c(F)$ where $c \geqslant 2$ of the lower central series of F. Then the group $F/V(F)$ has the small index property.*

Proof. By the main result of [2] we have already referred to above, the natural homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Aut}(F) \to \mathrm{Aut}(F/\gamma_c(F)) \text{ and } \mathrm{Aut}(F) \to \mathrm{Aut}(F/V(F))$$

determined by the natural homomorphisms

$$F \to F/\gamma_c(F) \text{ and } F \to F/V(F),$$

respectively, are surjective. It follows that the natural homomorphism

$$\varepsilon : \mathrm{Aut}(F/\gamma_c(F)) \to \mathrm{Aut}(F/V(F))$$

determined by the natural homomorphism $F/\gamma_c(F) \to F/V(F)$ is also surjective.

Let $\Sigma \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}(F/V(F))$ be a subgroup of index at most $\mathrm{rank}(F/V(F)) = \mathrm{rank}(F)$. Then the full preimage $\varepsilon^{-1}(\Sigma)$ of Σ is of index at most $\mathrm{rank}(F/\gamma_c(F)) = \mathrm{rank}(F)$ in $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F/\gamma_c(F))$. It follows that there is a subset $\mathscr{D}$ of $F/\gamma_c(F)$ of cardinality $< \mathrm{rank}(F)$ such that

$$\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})} \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1}(\Sigma),$$

whence

$$\varepsilon(\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}) \leqslant \Sigma,$$

and the former subgroup is the pointwise stabilizer of a subset of $F/V(F)$ of cardinality $< \mathrm{rank}(F)$ of $F/V(F)$. □

The problem whether a free group F of arbitrary infinite rank has the small index property seems to be very intriguing (as we remarked in the Introduction, the answer is affirmative in the case when F is countable [1]). The following partial answer is provided by Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. *Let F be a free group of infinite rank $\varkappa$. Suppose that Σ is a subgroup of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ of index at most $\varkappa$ such that*

$$\Sigma = \bigcap_{c \geqslant 2} \Sigma I_c$$

where I_c is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(F) \to \mathrm{Aut}(F/\gamma_c(F))$ determined by the natural homomorphism $F \to F/\gamma_c(F)$ $(c \geqslant 2)$. Then Σ contains the pointwise stabilizer $\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})}$ of a subset $\mathscr{D}$ of F of cardinality $< \varkappa$.

Proof. Let a natural number $c \geqslant 2$ be arbitrary. Write ε for the natural homomorphism $F \to N_c = F/\gamma_c(F)$. It is convenient to use the same symbol ε for the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(F) \to \Gamma^{(c)} = \mathrm{Aut}(F/\gamma_c(F))$. Take a basis $\mathscr{B}$ of F. As Σ is a subgroup of index at most $\varkappa$, by Proposition 2.1 there is a subset $\mathscr{D}$ of $\mathscr{B}$ of cardinality $< \varkappa$ such that

$$\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathscr{B}}(F)_{(\mathscr{D})} \subseteq \Sigma,$$

and hence

$$\varepsilon(\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathscr{B}}(F)_{(\mathscr{D})}) = \mathrm{Mo}_{\varepsilon\mathscr{B}}(N_c)_{(\varepsilon\mathscr{D})} \leqslant \varepsilon(\Sigma).$$

Now $\varepsilon\mathscr{B}$ is a basis of N_c, and then by Theorem 3.1,

$$\Gamma^{(c)}_{(\varepsilon\mathscr{D})} = \langle \mathrm{Mo}_{\varepsilon\mathscr{B}}(N_c)_{(\varepsilon\mathscr{D})} \rangle \leqslant \varepsilon(\Sigma).$$

Lifting all objects that participate in the last inequality back to Γ, we get that

$$\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})} I_c \leqslant \Sigma I_c \Rightarrow \Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})} \leqslant \Sigma I_c$$

for all $c \geqslant 2$. It follows that

$$\Gamma_{(\mathscr{D})} \leqslant \bigcap_{c \geqslant 2} \Sigma I_c = \Sigma,$$

as claimed. $\square$

Remark. Subgroups Σ of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}(F)$ that satisfy the condition $\Sigma = \bigcap_{c \geqslant 2} \Sigma I_c$ can be characterized as *closed* subgroups of Γ with respect to the topology τ on Γ determined by the filtration $(I_c : c \geqslant 2)$. Thus having an arbitrary subgroup Σ of Γ of index at most $\varkappa$ we could only claim that the closure $\overline{\Sigma}$ of Σ in τ contains the pointwise stabilizer of a subset of F of cardinality $< \varkappa$.

Using the fact that any variety of vector spaces over a fixed division ring is a BMN-variety [13], we can easily adapt the 'abelian' part of the proof of Theorem 3.3 to prove the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let V be an infinite-dimensional vector space over a division ring. Then V has the small index property.*

A similar result can be in fact proven for free modules of infinite rank over rings from a rather large class of rings the author considered in [13] (see Remark 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 of [13] for the details).

Theorem 3.1 can be also used to show that all automorphisms of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ of an infinitely generated free abelian group A are inner. The crucial step in the proof is the reconstruction of the family of all unimodular elements of A in $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ with the use of the small index property.

Acknowledgements. This work has been partially supported by the Russian Federal Target Program "Scientific, Academic and Teaching Staff of Innovative Russia".

References

[1] Bryant, R. and Evans, D. The small index property for free groups and relatively free groups. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 55:363–369.

[2] Bryant, R. and Macedonska, O. Automorphisms of relatively free nilpotent groups of infinite rank. 121:388–398.

[3] Bryant, R. and Roman'kov, V. The automorphism groups of relatively free algebras. J. Algebra 209:713–723.

[4] Dixon, J., Neumann, P.M. and Thomas, S. Subgroups of small index in infinite symmetric groups. Bull. London Math. Soc. 18:580–586.

[5] Hodges, W. (1993). Model Theory. University Press, Cambridge.

[6] Kechris, A. (1995). Classical descriptive set theory. Springer-Verlag, New York.

[7] Macpherson, H.D. Maximal subgroups of infinite-dimensional linear groups. J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 53:338–351.

[8] Macpherson, H.D. and Neumann, P.M. Subgroups of infinite symmetric groups. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 42:64–84.

[9] O'Meara, O. A general isomorphism theory for linear groups. J. Algebra 44:93–142.

[10] McKenzie, R. On elementary types of symmetric groups. Algebra Universalis 1:13–20.

[11] Magnus, W., Karrass A., and Solitar D. (1966). Combinatorial Group Theory. Wiley.

[12] Tolstykh, V. What does the automorphism group of a free abelian group A know about A? (2005). In: Logic and its applications, Contemp. Math. 380. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 283–296,

[13] Tolstykh, V. On the Bergman property for the automorphism groups of relatively free groups. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 73:669–680.

[14] Tolstykh, V. Small conjugacy classes in the automorphism groups of relatively free groups. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215:2086–2098.

Vladimir Tolstykh, Department of Mathematics, Yeditepe University, 34755 Kayışdaği, Istanbul, Turkey

E-mail address: `vtolstykh@yeditepe.edu.tr`